

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/705,609	11/10/2003	Guanghua Huang	13928.1USC2	4693	
23552	7590 03/30/20		EXAMINER		
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			ANDREA, BRIAN K		
P.O. BOX 2 MINNEAPO	903 DLIS, MN 55402-090	3	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3662		
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/200	DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/705,609	HUANG, GUANGHUA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian K Andrea	3662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 November 2003.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	·					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 January 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	∴ □					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

It is not understood what is meant by a variational gravitational field. The examiner realizes (from the specification) that this variational gravitational field is a gradient of the gravitational field of a planet but the concepts behind this theory are not understood or adequately disclosed. The direction in which this gravitational field is moving is not disclosed and it is not understood how this gravitational field may be moving at a speed that is many multiples faster than the speed of light.

It is not understood how the equations provided in the specification yield the speed at which a variational gravitational field propagates. The derivation provided on pages 7-10 is difficult to follow due to lack of explanations so it is unclear exactly how the provided equations may be used to determine this velocity. The time that a light beam takes to make a round trip from the satellite to the object on the planet is calculated using a laser radar apparatus provided on the satellite. This time is used to

Application/Control Number: 10/705,609

Art Unit: 3662

determine the distance above the object that the satellite is orbiting. It is unclear why this is relevant. It is stated on page 9, lines 3-8 that the speed of this gravitational field may be determined using equation 3 but the concept behind this determination is not adequately explained in the specification and therefore difficult to conceptualize.

Further, a radar apparatus is provided on the object for determining the velocity of the satellite as it passes over the object. Equations 4 and 5 both contain the velocity of the satellite but the final equations (9 and 10) appear to be independent of satellite velocity. It is unclear why the radar apparatus is provided for determining velocity if the velocity is irrelevant. Finally, it is unclear exactly how or why equations 9 and 10 yield the speed at which a variational gravitational field propagates. It is acknowledged that the derivation on pages 7-10 do yield equations 9 and 10 via substitution and rearrangement of equations but it is not seen how the ratio of the two times provides the velocity.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what is meant by the measurement of the "speed at which a variational gravitational field propagates." The concept of gravity is well known and the theory behind gravity changing at different distances from the center of a mass is well

Application/Control Number: 10/705,609

Art Unit: 3662

known. It is difficult to understand or conceptualize how the change in gravity has a velocity.

Claim 1 at page 12, line 6 requires the determination of "the time interval between a predetermined time and the moment that the velocity of the satellite changes due to a change in the gravitation field." This sounds as though a measurement is made but the specification contradicts this by providing an equation for this time interval. The equation provided in the specification is dependent upon the final calculated velocity of the speed at which a variational gravitational field propagates. It is unclear how this measurement can be made when it is dependent upon the velocity that the time interval is used to calculate.

5. The claims are so indefinite as to preclude a reasonable determination of their patentability over the prior art.

Applicant is required to submit an amendment which clarifies the disclosure so that the examiner may make a proper comparison of the invention with the prior art.

Applicant should be careful not to introduce any new matter into the disclosure (i.e., matter which is not supported by the disclosure as originally filed).

Drawings

6. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Conclusion

8. This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/355,407. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian K Andrea whose telephone number is (703) 605-4245. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on (703) 306-4171. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Application/Control Number: 10/705,609 Page 6

Art Unit: 3662

872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

RΚΔ

March 25, 2004

BERNARR E. GREGORY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

A, U, 3662